

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE**

08/988,537

12/10/97

ISHIBASHI

018775-718

LM02/0912

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS P. O. BOX 1404

ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

AWAD, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2775

DATE MAILED:

09/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/988,537

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Ishibashi et al.

Group Art Unit Amr Awad

2775



TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 💢	expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	ь) 🗀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The name which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap	plicant is NO	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 30, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, or deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):
	□ w	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X) w	ill not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE: The amendment to all independent claims 1, 5 and 12 such as "wherein the controller does not allow changing the image" and such as "in response to the signal which is output from the detector" will raise a new issues that would require further search and consideration.
	□ A	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	ns allowed:
		ns objected to:
	Claim	ns rejected: 1-20
		proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Other	DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER